UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,788	09/02/2008	Zvonimir Gabric	2006 VJ 33543 US	1598
48154 SLATER & MA	7590 01/25/201 ATSIL LLP	EXAMINER		
17950 PRESTO		YUSHIN, NIKOLAY K		
SUITE 1000 DALLAS, TX 7	75252		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2893	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/586,788	GABRIC ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	NIKOLAT TOSTIIN	2093	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reid	acted claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 ⁻¹		scied ciairris.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	. ,,	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Amenament (i	1 10L-32+).
 S. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>32 -38</u> would be all 		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subiliited iii a separate,	umery med amendmen	it carreening the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 32-38. Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21 - 30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1 -20; 31</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	I NOT I II II II II	190 6 11	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	i condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/NY/	/Thomas L Dickey/		
AU 2893	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2826	
	,,,,,,		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In claim 21, "a SINGLE layer of HOMOGENOUS material" raises the issue of new matter already raised with respect to claim 29..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: At page 6 of the remarks Applicants argue, "Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to look at paragraph [0055] of the published specification [which states] 'advantageous material characteristics are achieved by a material with the overall formula Si1.00O1.90H0.27C0.045N0.06. EACH OF THE INDEX NUMBERS (1.00, 1.90, etc.) IN THE STATED OVERALL FORMULA MAY VARY BY TWENTY PERCENT UPWARDS OR DOWNWARDS ... without losing the advantageous characteristics of the material.' (Emphasis added.)' Therefore, the originally filed application recites a variety of species sufficient to constitute a genus. Applicants request Examiner to withdraw the rejection."

However, claims 29 and 31 were not rejected for failure to claim a genus; the claims were rejected because Applicant's original application did not place Applicants in possession of the claimed "single layer of homogenous material comprising silicon, oxygen, and nitrogen". "Homogenous material comprising silicon, oxygen, and nitrogen" is GENERIC to, SPECIFICALLY, the disclosed homogeneous Si1.00O1.90H0.27C0.045N0.06 material.

It does not matter how many other things (such as Applicants' proposed "Si1.00O1.90H0.27C0.045N0.06" are also generic to the disclosed species, because only the genus "HOMOGENOUS MATERIAL COMPRISING SILICON, OXYGEN, AND NITROGEN" is claimed. "Si1.00O1.90H0.27C0.045N0.06 plus or minus 20%" is NOT CLAIMED, so it is immaterial whether the +-20% range is sufficient to constitute a second genus.